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Revenues from oil production provide ~90% of unrestricted state revenues and half of Alaska jobs.

Sources:
1. UGF Revenues : Fall 2013 Revenue Sources Book pages 94-95 (December 4, 2013)
What were the main reforms?

Oil taxes were reformed because of:

1. Declining production.
2. Comparatively low investment.
3. Declining opportunities for Alaskans.

Key reforms:

1. Replaced variable tax rates with fixed rate.
2. Changed from credit based on spending to one based on production.
3. Added incentives for new oil production.
**ACES**

Tax Rate = 25% + Progressive Rate

**Progressive Rate:**

1. West Coast Price – Transportation Costs = Gross Value at the Point of Production (GVPP).
2. GVPP – Lease Expenditures = Production Tax Value (PTV).
3. If PTV/bbl is less than $92.50, rate is 25% PLUS PTV/bbl – 30 x .004%.
4. Above $92.50, rate is 50% PLUS PTV/bbl – 92.50 x .001%.

**Maximum Rate: 75% (25% +50%)**

**MAP Act (SB 21)**

Tax Rate = 35%
ACES Had Tax Rates That Changed Monthly

Monthly Tax Rates under ACES
for Fiscal Year 2009

Source: Alaska Department of Revenue, Economic Research Group
Changed from credit based on spending to one based on production.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACES</th>
<th>MAP Act (SB 21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qualified Capital Expenditure Credit:</td>
<td>Dollars Per Taxable Barrel:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% of capital spending.</td>
<td>Gross Value &lt; $80 = $8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit <strong>can</strong> reduce tax below minimum tax.</td>
<td>Gross Value &lt; $90 = $7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross Value &lt; $100 = $6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross Value &lt; $110 = $5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross Value &lt; $120 = $4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross Value &lt; $130 = $3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross Value &lt; $140 = $2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross Value &lt; $150 = $1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross Value &gt; $150 = $0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Credit **cannot** reduce tax due below minimum tax of 4% of gross value.
Added incentives for new oil production. 
→ Reduces tax liability in new production areas.

**ACES**
Old and new production taxed at same, company-wide tax rate.

**MAP Act (SB 21)**
New “Gross Value Reduction” (GVR) excludes 20% or 30% of the gross value of qualifying production, from the tax calculation.

- Areas around a current PA that may not be commercial to develop
- New pools of oil that have not been discovered or developed
- GVR eligible oil received flat $5/bbl credit rather than sliding scale, can offset minimum tax.
What did the More Alaska Production Act (SB 21) do?

- Took a tax system with *variable tax rates* and *credits for capital spending* and simplified it with a *flat tax rate of 35%* and credits for production.

- Provided extra incentives for production from new units and undeveloped participating areas in existing units.

- **Did not** change royalties, property tax or corporate income taxes on oil production.
Now What?

What is happening with State revenues?

What is happening with North Slope production?
Revenue Has Been Declining Since 2011

- **Fiscal Year 2012**
  - July 1, 2011—June 30, 2012
  - Unrestricted Revenues: $9.485 billion

- **Fiscal Year 2013**
  - July 1, 2012—June 30, 2013
  - Unrestricted Revenues: $6.929 billion

- **Fiscal Year 2014**
  - July 1, 2013—June 30, 2014
  - Unrestricted Revenues: $4.930 billion

GF Unrestricted Revenues fell ~$2.5 billion between FY 12 and FY 13.

SB 21 took effect Jan. 1, 2014

*Note: Fiscal Year 2014 is forecast, prior fiscal years are actuals.
Sources: Alaska Department of Revenue Fall 2012, Spring 2013 and Fall 2013 Revenue Sources Books
Major Contributors of Changes in Revenue Forecast (FY14-15)

- Increased Transportation Charges
- ACES North Slope Credit Close Out
- Property Tax and Corp Income Changes
- Non-Oil Changes
- Tax System Change
- Reduced Price Expectation
- Increased Lease Expenditures
- Reduced Production

Source: DOR December 2013 estimates
## Change in North Slope Lease Expenditure Forecast

**Fall 2013 to Spring 2013**

### Total North Slope CAPEX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>$3,929</td>
<td>$4,894</td>
<td>$4,617</td>
<td>$3,747</td>
<td>$3,294</td>
<td>$3,666</td>
<td>$3,664</td>
<td>$3,521</td>
<td>$3,370</td>
<td>$3,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>$3,862</td>
<td>$4,069</td>
<td>$3,632</td>
<td>$2,870</td>
<td>$2,841</td>
<td>$2,416</td>
<td>$2,281</td>
<td>$2,184</td>
<td>$2,083</td>
<td>$1,992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Change**

|       | $67   | $826  | $985  | $877  | $453  | $1,250 | $1,383 | $1,337 | $1,287 | $1,027 |

**Total Increase:** $9,492

*Note: These estimates include lease expenditures by companies that are not expected to have a tax liability.*

Source: Department of Revenue - Revenue Sources Book Fall 2013 / 2012
COMPARING ANS OIL PRODUCTION FORECASTS

With risking, no major changes were required this year. This allows confidence in forecast.

Source: Department of Revenue - Revenue Sources Books Fall 2005-2013
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Resources

Supplemental Slides
Change in Average Daily Oil Production by State—2011-2012
Prepared by DOR, Economic Research Group (March 18, 2013)

Source: EIA Crude Oil Production By State. Link: http://www.eia.gov/dnav/pet/pet_crpdn_adc_mbblpd_m.htm

ANS $111.75
WTI $96.51

Prepared for Department of Revenue by EconOne: North Slope based on tax return information; U.S. based on top 50 public companies; worldwide based on top 75 public companies
Wait, SB 21 raises more revenue than ACES?

- Both the More Alaska Production Act (SB 21) and ACES are net tax systems that allow companies to deduct their spending in Alaska.
- Both levy the tax against the production tax value, which for the majority of oil is calculated the same way.
- “New” oil gets an additional reduction to its production tax value which accounts for the difference in Production Tax Value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACES</th>
<th>MAP Act</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANS Price</td>
<td>$105.06</td>
<td>$105.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>-$10.03</td>
<td>-$10.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lease Expenditures</td>
<td>-$45.99</td>
<td>-$45.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Tax Value (PTV/bbl)</td>
<td>$49.04</td>
<td>$49.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Tax Value (total)</td>
<td>$7.778 billion</td>
<td>$7.715 billion*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Difference in PTV due to “New” Oil benefit (GVR) in SB 21 (~$63 million in FY 15).

Calculations based on FY 15 income statement found on page 106 of the Fall 2013 Revenue Sourcebook. It is illustrative and represents an approximation of the production tax calculation and does not match production tax estimates throughout the Revenue Source Book.
**Wait, SB 21 raises more revenue than ACES?**

- A key difference between the two is:
  - Under ACES, the tax rate depends on (1) price (2) production (3) lease expenditures (4) cost of transportation, i.e. the tariff.

- At these prices, the ACES tax rate = 25% + [(PTV-30) x .004]

- Under the MAP Act, the tax rate is 35%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACES</th>
<th>MAP Act</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production Tax Value (PTV)</td>
<td>$49.04</td>
<td>$49.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive Tax</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Tax</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Rate</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculations based on FY 15 income statement found on page 106 of the Fall 2013 Revenue Sourcebook. It is illustrative and represents an approximation of the production tax calculation and does not match production tax estimates throughout the Revenue Source Book.
Wait, SB 21 raises more revenue than ACES?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACES</th>
<th>MAP Act</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production Tax Value (PTV)</td>
<td>$7.778</td>
<td>$7.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billions of dollars</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Rate</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Before Credits</td>
<td>$2.535</td>
<td>$2.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billions of dollars</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deductible Credits(^1)</td>
<td>-$890</td>
<td>-$960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Revenue</td>
<td>$1.625</td>
<td>$1.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billions of dollars</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. ACES credits based on qualified capital spending of $4.45 billion in deductible capital expenditures in FY 15. Calculations based on FY 15 income statement found on page 106 of the Fall 2013 Revenue Sourcebook. It is illustrative and represents an approximation of the production tax calculation and does not match production tax estimates throughout the Revenue Source Book.