Draft Preliminary Report Outline – Report Due January 2015
Oil and Gas Competitiveness Review Board

1. Introduction
    a. Peer group selection criteria
    b. Peer group members
        i. U.S. States and Federal OCS
            1. Alaska (onshore and state submerged lands)
            2. California
            3. North Dakota
            4. Oklahoma
            5. Texas
            6. U.S. Gulf of Mexico OCS
            7. U.S. Alaska Arctic OCS
        ii. Canada and Provinces
            1. Alberta
            2. Other Canada (Federal)
        iii. Countries/Rest-of-the-World
            1. Australia
            2. Norway
            3. U.K.
2. Hydrocarbon Endowment (Resource Base) Comparisons
    a. Production
    b. Proved reserves, conventional oil and natural gas
    c. Undiscovered resource, conventional oil and natural gas
    d. Unconventional resource potential, oil and natural gas
        i. Heavy oil
        ii. Shale resource
3. Lease Sales
    a. Leasing methods
    b. Historical lease sale data
    c. Exploration licenses
    d. Access
4. Exploration and Development Activity Comparisons
    a. Drilling activity
    b. Rig count
    c. Employment
    d. Capital investment
    e. Costs
5. Alaska's Fiscal System
    a. High level description of Alaska's system elements
        i. Royalty
        ii. Severance tax
            1. Gross vs. Net tax
        iii. Ad valorem tax
        iv. Corporate income tax
        v. Sales tax
        vi. Incentives/credits

DRAFT

    b. Impact on explorers
    c. Impact on producers
6. Fiscal Regime Comparisons
    a. Fiscal regime styles
    b. Peer group details for comparison
    c. Jurisdictions left out and why
        i. Exclude jurisdictions that are “net importers” of oil.
        ii. Exclude jurisdictions that are either both non-U.S. and either non-Arctic or non-Pacific Rim.
        iii. Exclude jurisdictions that do not have significant oil endowments or significant current oil production.
        iv. Exclude PSC regime jurisdictions

DRAFT